



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,919	04/16/2004	Alexander Deiters	54-000250US	1323
22798 7590 02/11/2009 QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458 ALAMEDA, CA 94501				
EXAMINER GEBREYESUS, KAGNEW H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1656				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/11/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/826,919		Applicant(s) DEITERS ET AL.	
	Examiner KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS		Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

(1) KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS.

(2) Attorney Jonnathan Quine.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Introduction of new limitation "conservative variant" in claim 53 and dependent claims, None elected ssequences in claim 62 and claim 63 required to incorporate sequence limitation.

Claims discussed:

53, 62 and 63

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS/

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants were advised to amend claim 53 either by cancelling the term "conservative variants" in the claim and dependent claims. Furthermore applicants were advised to cancell cancel claim 62 because it is drawn to non-elected subject matter. Furthermore Applicants were advised to Include sequence limitations in claim 63 . Applicants attorney agreed to discuss the above proposed amendments with client.